FILED
APR 8 1929
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1927.

No. [redacted] 133

THE CHESAPEAKE AND OHIO RAILWAY COMPANY, *Petitioner*,

v.

TOBE STAPLETON, BY HIS STATUTORY GUARDIAN, MARION STAPLETON, *Respondent.*

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF KENTUCKY.

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR PETITIONER.

LEWRIGHT BROWNING,
Counsel for Petitioner.

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1927.

No. 983. 133

THE CHESAPEAKE AND OHIO RAILWAY COMPANY, *Petitioner*,

v.

TOBE STAPLETON, BY HIS STATUTORY GUARDIAN, MARION STAPLETON, *Respondent.*

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE COURT OF APPEALS OF KENTUCKY.

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR PETITIONER.

May It Please the Court:

Bearing upon the question as to the right of a state, under the guise of a police regulation, to supplement federal action, we would respectfully direct the court's attention to the following decisions, which are in addition to those cited on page 21 of petitioner's brief:

Northern Pac. Ry. Co. v. Washington, 222 U. S. 370, 32 S. Ct. 160;
Southern Ry. Co. v. Railroad Commission of Indiana, 236 U. S. 439, 35 S. Ct. 304;
Charleston & W. Ry. Co. v. Varnville Furniture Co., 237 U. S. 597, 35 S. Ct. 715;

Kansas City So. Ry. Co. v. Van Zant, 260 U. S. 459, 43 S. Ct. 176;
Missouri Pac. R. Co. v. Stroud, 267 U. S. 404, 45 S. Ct. 243;
Oregon-Washington R. & N. Co. v. Washington, 270 U. S. 87, 46 S. Ct. 279;
Alabama, etc. Ry. Co. v. Jackson & E. Ry. Co., 271 U. S. 244, 46 S. Ct. 535.

Respectfully submitted,

LEWRIGHT BROWNING,
Counsel for Petitioner.